



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/741,406	12/19/2000	James W. Edwards	10559/295001/P9306 6308		
20985 FISH & RICHA	7590 12/19/2006 ARDSON PC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A		
MINNEAPOLI	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2131		
			-		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/741,406	EDWARDS ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher A. Revak	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply many	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
 a)		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause		
 (a) \infty They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) \infty They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omoliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		·	(1.102.02.7).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-12,14-26,28 and 30-39</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. CHRISTOPHER REVAK					
		CHRISTOPHER	MINER		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendments would require further consideration and searching.

Continuation of 13. Other: The examiner notes that the proposed amendment only overcomes the rejection under 35 USC 112 1st paragraph. If the amendment were filed as a separate paper, the amendment overcoming the rejection under 35 USC 112 1st paragraph would be withdrawn.